arXiv:1604.04417v1 [math.OA] 15 Apr 2016

WEAK-LOCAL TRIPLE DERIVATIONS ON C*-ALGEBRAS AND JB*-TRIPLES

MARÍA J. BURGOS, JUAN CARLOS CABELLO, ANTONIO M. PERALTA

Abstract. We prove that every weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple E (i.e. a linear map $T : E \to E$ such that for each $\phi \in E^*$ and each $a \in E$, there exists a triple derivation $\delta_{a,\phi} : E \to E$, depending on ϕ and a, such that $\phi T(a) = \phi\delta_{a,\phi}(a)$) is a (continuous) triple derivation. We also prove that conditions

($h1$) $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$ for every a, b, c in E with $a, c \perp b$;

($h2$) $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ for every norm-one element a in E, and every tripotent e in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$, where $s(a)$ is the support tripotent of a in E^{**},

are necessary and sufficient to show that a linear map T on a JB*-triple E is a triple derivation.

1. Introduction

A *triple derivation* on a JB*-triple E is a linear mapping $\delta : E \to E$ satisfying the following Leibniz' rule

$$\delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}, \tag{1}$$

for every $a, b, c \in E$. T. Barton and Y. Friedman proved, in [1, Corollary 2.2], that the geometric structure of JB*-triples assures that every triple derivation on a JB*-triple.

Motivated by the different studies on local (associative) derivations on C*-algebras (compare [18, 22, 17]), M. Mackey introduced and presented, in [20], the first study on local triple derivations on JB*-triples. We recall that a *local triple derivation* on a JB*-triple E is a linear map $T : E \to E$ such that for each a in E there exists a triple derivation $\delta_a : E \to E$, depending on a, satisfying $T(a) = \delta_a(a)$. It is due to Mackey that every continuous local triple on a JBW*-triple (i.e. a JB*-triple which is also a dual Banach space) is a triple derivation (see [20, Theorem 5.11]). The first and third author of this note, in collaboration with F.J. Fernández-Polo, establish in [7] that every local triple derivation on a JB*-triple is continuous and a triple derivation.

Date: April 18, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B47; 46L57; 17C65; 47C15; 46L05; 46L08.

Key words and phrases. C*-algebra; JB*-triple; triple derivation; weak-local triple derivation; weak-local derivation.

Authors partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness and European Regional Development Fund project no. MTM2014-58984-P and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM375 and FQM290.

In the setting of C*-algebras, B.A. Essaleh, M.I. Ramírez, and the third author of this note explore the notions of weak-local derivation and weak*-local derivation on C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, respectively (see [10, 11]). Going back in history, we remind that, according to Kadison's definition, a *local derivation* from a C*-algebra A into a Banach A-bimodule, X, is a linear map $T : A \to X$ such that for each $a \in A$ there exits a derivation $D_a : A \to X$, depending on a, satisfying $T(a) = D_a(a)$. B.E. Johnson proved in [17] that every local derivation from a C*-algebra A into a Banach A-bimodule is continuous and a derivation. Following [10], a linear mapping $T : A \to X$ is called a *weak-local derivation* if for each $\phi \in X^*$ and each $a \in A$, there exists a derivation $D_{a,\phi} : A \to X$, depending on ϕ and a, such that $\phi T(a) = \phi D_{a,\phi}(a)$. It is shown in [10, Theorems 2.1 and 3.4] (see also [11]) that every weak-local derivation on a C*-algebra is continuous and a derivation. Similarly, if W is a von Neumann algebra (i.e. a C*-algebra which is also a dual Banach space) a *weak*-local derivation* on W is a linear map $T : W \to W$ such that for each $\phi \in W_*$ and each $a \in W$, there exists a derivation $D_{a,\phi} : W \to W$, depending on ϕ and a, such that $\phi T(a) = \phi D_{a,\phi}(a)$. Weak*-local derivations on a von Neumann algebra are automatically continuous and derivations (see [10, Theorems 2.8 and 3.1]).

In the wider setting of JB*-triples, weak-local triple derivations seem a natural notion to explore in this line. We shall say that a linear map T on a JB*-triple E is a *weak-local triple derivation* if for each $\phi \in E^*$ and each $a \in E$, there exists a triple derivation $\delta_{a,\phi} : E \to E$, depending on ϕ and a, such that $\phi T(a) = \phi \delta_{a,\phi}(a)$. *Weak*-local triple derivations* on a JBW*-triple are similarly defined.

In this note we prove that every weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple is continuous and a triple derivation (see Theorems 2.1 and 2.18). The proof of the main result will be derived with appropriate generalizations of the technical results stated in [7] for local triple derivations. Among the new results obtained in the study of the weak-local characterization of triple derivations, we also obtain that conditions

($h1$) $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$ for every a, b, c in E with $a, c \perp b$;
($h2$) $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ for every norm-one element a in E, and every tripotent e in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$, where $s(a)$ is the support tripotent of a in E^{**},

are necessary and sufficient to show that a linear map T on a JB*-triple E is a triple derivation (see Theorem 2.18). Actually, ($h2$) is enough to guarantee that T is continuous (see Proposition 2.14).

2. The results

The class $\mathcal{J}$ of those complex Banach spaces whose open unit ball is a bounded symmetric domain strictly includes all C*-algebras (see [15]) and all complex Hilbert spaces. There is a undoubted advantage in determining elements in the bigger class by a list of geometric and algebraic axioms. The characterization in precise axiomatic terms of those elements in $\mathcal{J}$ is due to W. Kaup (see [19]), who proved that every element in $\mathcal{J}$ is a *JB*-triple*, that is, a complex Banach space E admitting a continuous triple product $\{.,.,.\} : E \times E \times E \to E$, $(x, y, z) \mapsto$

$\{x, y, z\}$, which is linear and symmetric in x and z and conjugate linear in y, satisfies the so-called Jordan identity

$$\{x, y, \{a, b, c\}\} = \{\{x, y, a\}, b, c\} - \{a, \{y, x, b\}, c\} + \{a, b, \{x, y, c\}\},$$

for every $x, y, a, b, c \in E$, and, for each $a \in E$, the operator $x \mapsto L(a, a)(x) := \{a, a, x\}$ is hermitian with non-negative spectrum and $\|L(a, a)\| = \|a\|^2$.

Every C*-algebra A is a JB*-triple when it is equipped with the product $\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a)$. The category of JB*-triples is strictly bigger and contains, for example, all complex Hilbert spaces and all JB*-algebras.

JB*-triples whose underlying Banach space is a dual space are called *JBW*-triples*. In particular, every von Neumann algebra is a JBW*-triple. The second dual E^{**} of a JB*-triple E is JBW*-triple (cf. [8, Corollary 3.3.5]). Every JBW*-triple W admits a unique isometric predual W_*, and its triple product is separately $\sigma(W, W_*)$-continuous (cf. [2]). We refer to the monograph [8] for the notions not included in this paper.

Let E be a JB*-triple. It is known that the bitranspose, $\delta^{**} : E^{**} \to E^{**}$, of a triple derivation $\delta : E \to E$ is a triple derivation (compare [7, Statement (4) in page 713]).

2.1. **Continuity of weak-local triple derivations.**

We have already commented that T.J. Barton and Y. Friedman conducted the first result on automatic continuity of triple derivations on an arbitrary JB*-triple. The core of their arguments appears in [1, Theorem 2.1], where they establish that every triple derivation δ on a JB*-triple E is dissipative, i.e., for every $\phi \in E^*$ and every $x \in E$ with $\phi(x) = 1 = \|x\| = \|\phi\|$, we have $\Re\mathrm{e}\phi\delta(x) \leq 0$ (actually, $\Re\mathrm{e}\phi\delta(x) = 0$, for every ϕ and x as above). It can be therefore deduced, by the theory of dissipative operators, that every triple derivation on a JB*-triple is continuous (compare [3, Proposition 3.1.15]). This is enough to show that every local triple derivation on a JB*-triple is dissipative and hence continuous (see [7, Theorem 2.8]). We can actually see that the optimal notion to inherit the dissipative nature of triple derivations is the notion of weak-local triple derivation. Namely, let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Suppose we take $\phi \in E^*$ and $x \in E$ with $\phi(x) = 1 = \|x\| = \|\phi\|$, then

$$\Re\mathrm{e}\phi T(x) = \Re\mathrm{e}\phi\delta_{x,\phi}(x) \leq 0,$$

which shows that T is dissipative.

Theorem 2.1. *Every weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple is dissipative and hence continuous.*

The theory of dissipative maps doesn't work when we are dealing with weak*-local triple derivation on a JBW*-triple. We do not know the answer to the next problem.

Problem 2.2. *Is every weak*-local triple derivation on a JBW*-triple automatically continuous?*

More results on automatic continuity of maps related to weak-local triple derivations will be discussed in the next subsection.

2.2. **Weak local triple derivations are triple derivations.**

The first statement in the next result is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem, while the second is clear. This simple result is included to simplify the arguments in the subsequent results, and the proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $P : X \to X$ be a real linear projection on a Banach space. Suppose x is an element in X satisfying that for every $\phi \in X^*$ with $P^*(\phi) = \phi P = \phi$ we have $\phi(x) = 0$. Then $P(x) = 0$. If ϕ is a functional in X^* such that $\phi(a) = 0$, for every $a = P(a) \in X$, then $P^*(\phi) = 0$.* □

Tripotents in a JB*-triple play a role similar to that of projections in a C*-algebra. An element e in a JB*-triple E is said to be a *tripotent* if $\{e, e, e\} = e$. Every tripotent determines a decomposition of E in terms of the eigenspaces of the operator $L(e, e)$. More precisely,

$$E = E_0(e) \oplus E_1(e) \oplus E_2(e),$$

where $E_j(e) = \{x \in E : L(e, e)(x) = \frac{j}{2}x\}$. This decomposition is called the *Peirce decomposition* of E relative to e. These subspaces satisfy the following Peirce rules

$$\{E_i(e), E_j(e), E_k(e)\} \subseteq E_{i-j+k}(e)$$

if $i - j + k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $\{E_i(e), E_j(e), E_k(e)\} = \{0\}$ otherwise. Moreover, $\{E_2(e), E_0(e), E\} = \{E_0(e), E_2(e), E\} = \{0\}$. The corresponding *Peirce projections*, $P_i(e) : E \to E_i(e)$, $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are given by

$$P_2(e) = Q(e)^2,\ P_1(e) = 2L(e, e) - 2Q(e)^2,\ \text{ and } P_0(e) = Id - 2L(e, e) + Q(e)^2,$$

where Id is the identity map on E, and $Q(e)$ is the conjugate linear operator on E defined by $Q(e)(x) := \{e, x, e\}$. Clearly, $L(e, e) = P_2(e) + \frac{1}{2}P_1(e)$. If $E_2(e)$ reduces to $\mathbb{C}e$ we say that e is minimal.

The separate weak*-continuity of the triple product in a JBW*-triple M implies that Peirce projections associated with a tripotent e in M are weak*-continuous.

The Peirce subspace $E_2(e)$ enjoys an additional structure. Namely, the Jordan product and involution defined by $x \circ_e y := \{x, e, y\}$ and involution $x^{*_e} := Q(e)(x)$, respectively, are well-defined on $E_2(e)$ and equip the latter space with a structure of unital JB*-algebra with unit e.

Let us recall some definitions. A central notion in the study of triple derivations is the relation of orthogonality. Elements a, b in a JB*-triple E are said to be *orthogonal* (written $a \perp b$) if $L(a, b) = 0$. It is known that any of the following conditions is equivalent to $a \perp b$

$$b \perp a; \qquad \{a, a, b\} = 0; \qquad a \perp r(b);$$

$$r(a) \perp r(b); \quad E_2^{**}(r(a)) \perp E_2^{**}(r(b)); \quad r(a) \in E_0^{**}(r(b));$$

$$a \in E_0^{**}(r(b)); \qquad b \in E_0^{**}(r(a)); \qquad E_a \perp E_b,$$

where E_a denotes the JB*-subtriple of E generated by a (compare [5, Lemma 1]).

Let $\delta : E \to E$ be a triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Suppose e is a tripotent in E. As observed in [20] and [7], the identity $\delta(e) = \delta\{e,e,e\} = 2\{\delta(e),e,e\} + \{e,\delta(e),e\}$ shows that

$$P_0(e)\delta(e) = 0 \text{ and } P_2(e)\delta(e) = -Q(e)\delta(e). \tag{2}$$

In the next result we study the behavior of a weak-local triple derivation at a tripotent.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple, and let e be a tripotent in E. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) $P_0(e)T(e) = 0$;
(b) $P_2(e)T(e) = -Q(e)T(e)$;
(c) $T(e) = 2\{T(e),e,e\} + \{e,T(e),e\}$.

Proof. (a) Let ϕ be an element in E^* satisfying $\phi = \phi P_0(e)$. By our assumptions,

$$\phi T(e) = \phi\delta_{e,\phi}(e) = \phi P_0(e)\delta_{e,\phi}(e) = 0,$$

where in the last equality we apply (2). It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $P_0(e)T(e) = 0$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(P_2(e) + Q(e))$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Q}$ is a contractive real linear projection on E. Pick a functional $\phi \in E^*$ with $\phi = \phi\mathcal{Q}$. The hypothesis combined with (2) show that

$$\phi T(e) = \phi\delta_{e,\phi}(e) = \phi\mathcal{Q}\delta_{e,\phi}(e) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.3 gives $\mathcal{Q}T(e) = 0$, which proves the desired statement.

(c) Applying (a) and (b) and the Peirce decomposition we get

$$T(e) = P_0(e)T(e) + P_1(e)T(e) + P_2(e)T(e) = P_1(e)T(e) + P_2(e)T(e)$$

$$= 2L(e,e)T(e) - P_2(e)T(e) = 2\{e,e,T(e)\} + Q(e)T(e) = 2\{e,e,T(e)\} + \{e,T(e),e\}.$$

□

Remark 2.5. *The conclusions in the above Proposition 2.4 remain true when we assume that $T : E \to E$ is a weak*-local triple derivation on a JBW*-triple.*

A closer look at the arguments given in the first part of [7, Proof of Theorem 2.4] allows us to realize that the next result follows from the same arguments. We shall include here an sketch of the proof for completeness reasons.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a linear mapping on a JB*-triple. Suppose that $T(e) = 2\{e,e,T(e)\} + \{e,T(e),e\}$ for every tripotent e in E. Then $T\{a,a,a\} = 2\{T(a),a,a\} + \{a,T(a),a\}$, whenever a writes as a finite linear combination of mutually orthogonal tripotents in E.*

Proof. Let e_1, $e_2, \ldots, e_n$ be mutually orthogonal tripotents in E. Proposition 2.4(a) implies that $P_0(e_j)T(e_j) = 0$. The condition $e_i, e_k \perp e_j$ implies that $e_i, e_k \in E_0(e_j)$ and hence, by Peirce arithmetic, we have

$$\{e_i, T(e_j), e_k\} = 0. \tag{3}$$

By hypothesis,

$$T\{e_i, e_i, e_i\} = 2\{e_i, e_i, T(e_i)\} + \{e_i, T(e_i), e_i\}, \tag{4}$$

for every i. Furthermore, for each $i \neq j$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, since $e_i \perp e_j$, and hence $e_i \pm e_j$ is a tripotent, it follows from the hypothesis that

$$T\{e_i \pm e_j, e_i \pm e_j, e_i \pm e_j\} = 2\{e_i \pm e_j, e_i \pm e_j, T(e_i \pm e_j)\}$$
$$+ \{e_i \pm e_j, T(e_i \pm e_j), e_i \pm e_j\}.$$

Expanding the above identity and having in mind (4) and $e_i \perp e_j$, we deduce that

$$\pm 2\{e_i, e_i, T(e_j)\} + 2\{e_j, e_j, T(e_i)\} \pm \{e_i, T(e_j), e_i)\} + \{e_j, T(e_i), e_j\}$$
$$\pm 2\{e_i, T(e_i), e_j\} + 2\{e_i, T(e_j), e_j\} = 0,$$

which shows that

$$+4\{e_j, e_j, T(e_i)\} + 2\{e_j, T(e_i), e_j\} + 4\{e_i, T(e_j), e_j\} = 0.$$

The identity proved in (3) assures that

$$\{e_j, e_j, T(e_i)\} + \{e_i, T(e_j), e_j\} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Suppose a is an element in E which can be written in the form $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i e_i$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are mutually orthogonal tripotents. By the linearity of T, expanding the expressions

$$T\{a, a, a\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^3 T(\{e_i, e_i, e_i\}),\ 2\{T(a), a, a\} = 2\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \lambda_i^2 \lambda_j \{e_i, e_i, T(e_j)\},$$

and $\{a, T(a), a\} = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j T(e_j), \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k e_k\right\}$, it can be easily checked, applying (3), (4) and (5), that

$$T\{a, a, a\} = 2\{T(a), a, a\} + \{a, T(a), a\}.$$

□

Unfortunately, there exist examples of JB*-triples lacking of tripotents. Actually, every C*-algebra lacking of projections is an example of the previous statement. In a JBW*-triple M, every element in M can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal tripotents in M (see [16, Lemma 3.11]). In a weakly compact JB*-triple in the sense of [4], every element can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal minimal tripotents (see [4, Remark 4.6]).

We can prove now that the aforementioned Mackey's theorem remains valid for weak-local triple derivations on JBW*-triples and on weakly compact JB*-triples.

Corollary 2.7. *Let E be a JB*-triple satisfying that every element in E can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal tripotents in E. Let $T : E \to E$ be a bounded linear operator satisfying*

$$T(e) = 2\{e, e, T(e)\} + \{e, T(e), e\},$$

for every tripotent e in E. Then T is a triple derivation. Consequently, every weak-local triple derivation on E is a triple derivation.

Proof. By Proposition 2.6 we know that $T\{a, a, a\} = 2\{T(a), a, a\} + \{a, T(a), a\}$, whenever a writes as a finite linear combination of mutually orthogonal tripotents in E. Since the latter elements are norm-dense in E, T is continuous, and the triple product of E is jointly norm continuous, we obtain that $T\{b, b, b\} = 2\{T(b), b, b\} + \{b, T(b), b\}$, for every $b \in E$. A standard polarisation argument, via the formula:

$$\{x, y, z\} = 8^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{2} (-1)^j i^k \Big(x + i^k\ y + (-1)^j z\Big)^{[3]} \quad (x, y, z \in E), \tag{6}$$

proves that T is a triple derivation (see, for example, the proof of [20, Theorem 5.11]).

For the last statement, let $S : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation. We observe that Theorem 2.1 implies that S is continuous. By Proposition 2.4(c), $S\{e, e, e\} = 2\{S(e), e, e\} + \{e, S(e), e\}$, for every tripotent $e \in E$. The desired conclusion follows from the first part of this corollary. □

Combining Proposition 2.6 with the appropriate weak*-local version of Proposition 2.4 given in Remark 2.5 we obtain:

Corollary 2.8. *Every continuous weak*-local triple derivation on a JBW*-triple is a triple derivation.*

As we have previously mentioned, a general JB*-triple E might not contain a single tripotent. For these reasons, as in the study of local triple derivations, we must deal with tripotents in E^{**} which are achievable by elements in E.

We recall a series of definitions and notions on range and compact tripotents taken from [9, 12, 13]. Let a be a norm-one element in a JB*-triple E. We set $a^{[1]} = a$ and $a^{[2n+1]} := \{a, a^{[2n-1]}, a\}$ $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$. It is also known that the sequence $(a^{[2n-1]})$ converges in the weak* topology of E^{**} to a unique tripotent $s(a)$ in E^{**}. The tripotent $s(a)$ is called the *support tripotent* of a in E^{**}. A tripotent e in E^{**} is said to be *compact-G_δ* (relative to E) if there exists a norm-one element a in E such that e coincides with $s(a)$. A tripotent e in E^{**} is said to be *compact* (relative to E) if there exists a decreasing net (e_λ) of tripotents in E^{**} which are compact-G_δ with infimum e, or if e is zero (compare [9]).

The JB*-subtriple E_a generated by a single element a in a JB*-triple E can be identified isometrically with a commutative C*-algebra of form $C_0(L)$ where $L \subseteq [0, \|a\|]$ with $L \cup \{0\}$ compact, in such a way that the element a is associated

with a positive generating element in $C_0(L)$ (compare [19, §1, Corollary 1.15]). A continuous triple functional calculus at the element a can be appropriately defined. Consequently, for each natural n, there exists (a unique) element $a^{[1/(2n-1)]}$ in E_a satisfying $(a^{[1/(2n-1)]})^{[2n-1]} = a$. The sequence $(a^{[1/(2n-1)]})$ converges in the weak* topology of E^{**} to a tripotent (called the *range tripotent* of a) which is denoted by $r(a)$. The tripotent $r(a)$ can be also defined as the smallest tripotent e in E^{**} satisfying that a is positive in the JBW*-algebra $E_2^{**}(e)$. It is also known that $s(a) \leq a \leq r(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(r(a))$.

We shall state next a variant of the first identity in (2). Let $\delta : E \to E$ be a triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Let c be an element in E, and let e be a tripotent in E^{**} such that $c \in E_2^{**}(e)$. We claim that

$$P_0(e)\delta(c) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, by the continuous triple functional calculus (compare [19, §1, Corollary 1.15]), there exists $z \in E \cap E_2^{**}(e)$ such that $\{z, z, z\} = c$. Since, $\delta(c) = 2\{\delta(z), z, z\} + \{z, \delta(z), z\}$, it follows from Peirce rules that

$$\{z, \delta(z), z\} \in E \cap E_2^{**}(e), \text{ and } \{\delta(z), z, z\} \in E \cap (E_2^{**}(e) \oplus E_1^{**}(e)),$$

and hence $\delta(c) \in E \cap (E_2^{**}(e) \oplus E_1^{**}(e))$, witnessing the desired conclusion in (7).

Lemma 2.9. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Let a be an element in E, and let e be a tripotent in E^{**} such that $a \in E_2^{**}(e)$. Then $P_0(e)T(a) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in E^*$ be a functional satisfying $\phi = \phi P_0(e)$. It follows from the hypothesis and (7) that

$$\phi T(a) = \phi \delta_{a,\phi}(a) = \phi P_0(e)\delta_{a,\phi}(a) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.3 assures that $P_0(e)T(a) = 0$. □

We can derive now a weak-local version of [6, Lemma 4] with a simple argument.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Then $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$ for every a, b, c in E with $a, c \perp b$.*

Proof. Let a, b, c in E with $a, c \perp b$. Applying Lemma 2.9 with $e = r(b)$ we deduce that $P_0(r(b))T(b) = 0$. It follows from the hypothesis that $a, c \in E_0^{**}(r(b))$. So, we can easily deduce, via Peirce arithmetic, that $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$. □

A detailed inspection to the proof of [7, Proposition 2.2] is enough to ensure that the conclusions in the first two statements of the just quoted Proposition 2.2 in [7] remain valid when $T : E \to E$ is a bounded linear operator on a JB*-triple satisfying $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$, for every $a, b, c \in E$ with $a, c \perp b$. We therefore have:

Proposition 2.11. [7, proof of Proposition 2.2] *Let $T : E \to E$ be a bounded linear operator on a JB*-triple satisfying $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$, for every $a, b, c \in E$ with $a, c \perp b$. Suppose e is a compact tripotent in E^{**}. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) $P_0(e)T^{**}(e) = 0$;

(b) *If a is a norm-one element in E whose support tripotent is e (that is, e is a compact-G_δ tripotent), then $Q(e)T(a) = Q(e)T^{**}(e)$.*

Remark 2.12. *We observe that a mapping satisfying the hypothesis of the above Proposition 2.11 need not be, in general a triple derivation. Take, for example a unital C^*-algebra A, a non-normal element $x_0 \in A$, and the mapping $T : A \to A$ defined by $T(a) := [x_0, a] = x_0a - ax_0$. Since $T\{1, x_0, 1\} = T(x_0^*) = x_0x_0^* - x_0^*x_0 \neq 0$, and $2\{T(1), x_0, 1\} + \{1, T(x_0), 1\} = 0$, we deduce that T is not a triple derivation. However, given $a, b, c \in A$ with $a, c \perp b$ (i.e. $ab^* = b^*a = cb^* = b^*c = 0$) we have*

$$2\{a, T(b), c\} = a[x_0, b]^*c + c[x_0, b]^*a = 0.$$

Given two tripotents e and u in a JB*-triple E we write $u \leq e$ if $e - u$ is a tripotent in E and $e - u \perp u$.

Our next lemma gives a condition, which added to the hypothesis in Proposition 2.11, avoids the difficulties appearing by the counterexample given in Remark 2.12.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Let a be a norm-one element in E, and let e be a tripotent in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$, that is, a tripotent satisfying $P_2(e)(a) = \{e, a, e\} = e$. Then $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ in E^{**}.*

Proof. Let $\delta : E \to E$ be a triple derivation. It is known that $\delta^{**} : E^{**} \to E^{**}$ is a triple derivation. In E^{**}, the element a writes in the form $a = e + P_0(e)(a)$. A new application of the continuous triple functional calculus and the fact that $E_0^{**}(e)$ is a subtriple of E^{**} assures the existence of $z \in E_0^{**}(e)$ such that $\{z, z, z\} = P_0(e)(a)$. In particular, $\delta^{**}P_0(e)(a) = 2\{\delta^{**}(z), z, z\} + \{z, \delta^{**}(z), z\}$ lies in $E_0^{**}(e) \oplus E_1^{**}(e)$, by Peirce arithmetic. Therefore, by (2) and Peirce arithmetic, we have

$$Q(e)\delta(a) = Q(e)\delta^{**}(e) + Q(e)\delta^{**}P_0(e)(a) = Q(e)\delta^{**}(e) = -P_2(e)\delta^{**}(e),$$

which implies that $P_2(e)\delta(a) = -Q(e)\delta^{**}(e)$.

Now take $\phi \in E^*$ satisfying $\phi = \phi\frac{1}{2}(P_2(e) + Q(e))$. It follows from the hypothesis and the above arguments that

$$\phi T(a) = \phi\delta_{a,\phi}(a) = \phi\frac{1}{2}(P_2(e) + Q(e))\delta_{a,\phi}(a) = \phi\frac{1}{2}(P_2(e) + Q(e))\delta_{a,\phi}^{**}(e) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from (2). Lemma 2.3 implies that $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$. □

Let φ be a norm-one functional in the predual of a JBW*-triple W. B. Russo and Y. Friedman prove in [14, Proposition 2] the existence of a unique tripotent $e = e(\varphi) \in W$ satisfying $\varphi = \varphi P_2(e)$, and $\varphi|_{W_2(e)}$ is a faithful normal state of the JBW*-algebra $W_2(e)$. This unique tripotent e is called the *support tripotent* of φ.

We shall prove next that the property obtained in the conclusion of the above Lemma 2.13 is enough to guarantee the automatic continuity of a linear mapping.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a linear mapping on a JB*-triple. Suppose that for every norm-one element a in E, and every tripotent e in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$ we have $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ in E^{**}. Then T is continuous.*

Proof. Let us pick $\phi \in E^*$, $a \in E$ with $\|a\| = 1 = \|\phi\| = \phi(a)$. Let E_a be the JB*-subtriple of E generated by a. Proposition 2.5 in [21] implies that $\phi|_{E_a}$ is a triple homomorphism. Regarding ϕ as a normal functional in the predual of E^{**}, we can deduce, via weak* density that ϕ is a triple homomorphism when restricted to the weak*-closure of E_a in E^{**}. In particular, $\phi(s(a)) = 1$. Let e denote the support tripotent of ϕ in E^{**}. It follows from the properties defining the support tripotent that $s(a) \geq e$. The hypothesis on T implies that $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ in E^{**}. Since $\phi|_{E_2^{**}(e)}$ is a faithful normal positive functional in the JBW*-algebra $(E_2^{**}(e), \circ_e, Q(e))$, and $\phi = \phi P_2(e)$, we have

$$\phi T(a) = \phi P_2(e)T(a) = \phi\left(\frac{P_2(e) + Q(e)}{2}T(a)\right) = 0.$$

We have therefore shown that T is dissipative, and hence continuous (cf. [3, Proposition 3.1.15]). □

Combining Lemma 2.10 with Proposition 2.11 we can now derive now a weak-local version of [7, Propostion 2.2].

Proposition 2.15. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a weak-local triple derivation on a JB*-triple. Suppose e is a compact tripotent in E^{**}. Then the following statements hold:*

(a) $P_0(e)T^{**}(e) = 0$;
(b) If a is a norm-one element in E whose support tripotent is e (that is, e is a compact-G_δ tripotent), then $Q(e)T(a) = Q(e)T^{**}(e)$;
(c) $P_2(e)T^{**}(e) = -Q(e)T^{**}(e)$;
(d) $T^{**}\{e,e,e\} = 2\{T^{**}(e),e,e\} + \{e,T^{**}(e),e\}$.

Proof. The first two statements follow from Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.10, and Proposition 2.11. To prove *(c)* we observe that, by *(b)*, $Q(e)T(a) = Q(e)T^{**}(e)$, and hence $P_2(e)T(a) = P_2(e)T^{**}(e)$. Lemma 2.13 gives $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$, which proves the desired equality. Finally, *(d)* follows from *(a)* and *(c)*. □

We can also derive now a technical variant of the previous result.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a linear mapping on a JB*-triple satisfying the following hypothesis*

(h1) $\{a, T(b), c\} = 0$ *for every a, b, c in E with $a, c \perp b$;*
(h2) $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ *for every norm-one element a in E, and every tripotent e in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$.*

Suppose e is a compact tripotent in E^{**}. Then the following statements hold:

(a) $P_0(e)T^{**}(e) = 0$;
(b) If a is a norm-one element in E whose support tripotent is e (that is, e is a compact-G_δ tripotent), then $Q(e)T(a) = Q(e)T^{**}(e)$;
(c) $P_2(e)T^{**}(e) = -Q(e)T^{**}(e)$;

(*d*) $T^{**}\{e,e,e\} = 2\{T^{**}(e),e,e\} + \{e,T^{**}(e),e\}$.

Proof. The continuity of T follows, via Proposition 2.14, from $(h2)$. Statements (a) and (b) can be derived from Proposition 2.11. If in the proof of Proposition 2.15 we apply the hypothesis $(h2)$ the same argument runs here. □

The technical arguments given in [7, proof of Corollary 2.3 and comments prior to it, and proof of Theorem 2.4] actually prove the following result.

Proposition 2.17. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a bounded linear operator on a JB*-triple satisfying that $T^{**}\{e,e,e\} = 2\{T^{**}(e),e,e\} + \{e,T^{**}(e),e\}$ for every compact tripotent $e \in E^{**}$. Then T^{**} is a triple derivation.*

We can now obtain our main result.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $T : E \to E$ be a linear mapping on a JB*-triple. The following statements are equivalent:*

(*a*) *T is a triple derivation;*
(*b*) *T is a local triple derivation;*
(*c*) *T is a weak-local triple derivation;*
(*d*) *$\{a,T(b),c\} = 0$ for every a,b,c in E with $a,c \perp b$ and $P_2(e)T(a) = -Q(e)T(a)$ for every norm-one element a in E, and every tripotent e in E^{**} such that $e \leq s(a)$ in $E_2^{**}(e)$.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c)$ are clear. $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ is a consequence of Lemmas 2.10 and 2.13. Finally, $(d) \Rightarrow (a)$ is a consequence of Propositions 2.14, 2.16 and 2.17. □

The following consequence is interesting by itself and it compliments the results in [10].

Corollary 2.19. *Every weak-local triple derivation on a C*-algebra is a triple derivation.*

References

[1] T.J. Barton, Y. Friedman, Bounded derivations of JB*-triples, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **41**, 255-268 (1990).
[2] T.J. Barton, R.M. Timoney, Weak*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, *Math. Scand.* **59**, 177-191 (1986).
[3] O. Bratteli, D.W. Robinson, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
[4] L.J. Bunce and C.-H. Chu, Compact operations, multipliers and Radon-Nikodym property in JB*-triples, *Pacific J. Math.* **153**, 249-265 (1992).
[5] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J. Garcés, J. Martínez, A.M. Peralta, Orthogonality preservers in C*-algebras, JB*-algebras and JB*-triples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348**, 220-233 (2008).
[6] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, J.J. Garcés, A.M. Peralta, Local triple derivations on C*-algebras, *Communications in Algebra* **42**, 1276-1286 (2014).
[7] M. Burgos, F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Local triple derivations on C*-algebras and JB*-triples, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **46**, no. 4, 709-724 (2014).
[8] Ch.-H. Chu, *Jordan Structures in Geometry and Analysis*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 190, Cambridge. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[9] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Compact tripotents in bi-dual JB*-triples, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **120**, 155-173 (1996).
[10] A.B.A. Essaleh, A.M. Peralta, M.I. Ramírez, Weak-local derivations and homomorphisms on C*-algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **64**, No. 2 169-186 (2016).
[11] A.B.A. Essaleh, A.M. Peralta, M.I. Ramírez, CORRIGENDUM: Weak-local derivations and homomorphisms on C*-algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **64**, No. 5, 1009-1010 (2016).
[12] F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Closed tripotents and weak compactness in the dual space of a JB*-triple, *J. London Math. Soc.* **74**, 75-92 (2006).
[13] F.J. Fernández-Polo, A.M. Peralta, Compact tripotents and the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for C*-algebras and JB*-triples, *J. Operator Theory*, **58**, no. 1, 157-173 (2007).
[14] Y. Friedman, B. Russo, Structure of the predual of a JBW*-triple, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **356**, 67-89 (1985).
[15] L.A. Harris, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*. In: *Proceedings on infinite dimensional Holomorphy* (Kentucky 1973); pp. 13-40. Lecture Notes in Math. 364, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
[16] G. Horn, Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a JBW*-triple, *Math. Scand.* **61**, no. 1, 117-133 (1987).
[17] B.E. Johnson, Local derivations on C*-algebras are derivations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, 313-325 (2001).
[18] R.V. Kadison, Local derivations, *J. Algebra* **130**, 494-509 (1990).
[19] W. Kaup, *A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces*, Math. Z. **183** (1983), 503-529.
[20] M. Mackey, Local derivations on Jordan triples, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **45**, no. 4, 811-824 (2013).
[21] A.M. Peralta, Positive definite Hermitian mappings associated with tripotent elements, *Expo. Math.* **33**, No. 2, 252-258 (2015).
[22] V. Shul'man, Operators preserving ideals in C*-algebras, *Studia Math.* **109**, 67-72 (1994).

Campus de Jerez, Facultad de Ciencias Sociales y de la Comunicación Av. de la Universidad s/n, 11405 Jerez, Cádiz, Spain
E-mail address: maria.burgos@uca.es

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Granada, Facultad de Ciencias 18071, Granada, Spain
E-mail address: jcabello@ugr.es, aperalta@ugr.es